





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/694,667 | 10/23/2000 | Julie B. Madison | LERNERI.024C2 | 9829 | | |
| 20995 | 7590 03/24/2003 | | | | | |
| KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| 2040 MAIN S' FOURTEENT | H FLOOR | | DANG, HUNG XUAN | | | |
| IRVINE, CA | 92614 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2873 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003 | 3 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/694,667 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Madison

Art Unit



| | Hung X. Dang | | 3 | 2873 | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communic | ation appears on the d | over sheet with | the corres | spondence addre | ss | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE | | | | | | | |
| If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | y statute, cause the application | n to become ABAND | ONED (35 U.S | S.C. § 133). | nication. | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <i>Jan 6, 2003</i> | | | | • | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b |) 💢 This action is n | on-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) X Claim(s) 29, 32-50, and 59-108 | | | is/are | pending in the | application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/ar | e withdrawn fro | om consideration. | | |
| 5) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) | | | | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗆 Claim(s) | | | | is/are objected | to. | | |
| 8) 🔀 Claims <u>29, 32-50, and 59-108</u> | | are subject | t to restric | ction and/or elec | ction requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | · | | |
| 9) \square The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | |
|))□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) □ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed | \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Int | erview Summary (PT | 0-413) Paper | No(s) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) 🗌 No | tice of Informal Pater | t Application | (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |) 6) 🗌 Ot | ner: | | • | | | |



Serial Number: 09/694,667

Art Unit: 2873

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group I. Claims 29, 32-50, and 83-108 drawn to auxiliary spectacle attached to a primary spectacle, classified in class 351, subclass 47.
- Group II. Claims 59-82, drawn to a split rim mounting, classified in class 351, subclass 90.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the patentability of the combination does not rely on the detail of the subcombination (see evidence claim 29). The subcombination has separate utility such lens mounting.



Art Unit: 2873

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the fields of search are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. If Group I is elected, then an election of species, as set forth below is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) Claims 29, 32-50 and 83-89 drawn to auxiliary spectacle attached to a primary spectacle with lens mounting.
- B) Claims 90-106 drawn to auxiliary spectacle attached to a primary spectacle.
- C) Claims 107 and 108 drawn to auxiliary spectacle attached to a primary spectacle with specific shape of the bore for receiving the magnetic member.



Serial Number: 09/694,667

Art Unit: 2873

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

Serial Number: 09/694,667

Page 5

. Art Unit: 2873

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (703) 308-0550.

3/03

HUNG X. DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNICAL CENTER 2800